

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS A Box 150 Aldanda, Virginia 22313-1450 wyw.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/060,926	01/29/2002	Wayne Cannon	CISCP709	7542		
26541 7:	590 08/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Cindy S. Kaplan			BLAIR, DO	BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
P.O. BOX 2448	3					
SARATOGA,	CA 95070	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2142			
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/09/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/060,92	6	CANNON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Douglas B		2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/7	7/06						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<u>ال</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,13,14,16,18,19 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	<u> </u>							
6)⊠								
7)								
8)	<u> </u>							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary	te	2.450)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/3/02</u> .	08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/060,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, and 21-23 are currently pending. Claims 21-23 are newly added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 1, 5, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 recite the limitation "the network element is operable to communicate directly with the new network element" in the newly amended limitations to the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Specifically, it is unclear which network element this limitation refers to. For examination purposes it will be assumed that the "network element" is intended to refer to the device with the management application. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claims 9 and 14 recite the limitation "the same network element running an network management application" in the newly amended portion of the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/060,926 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claims 1-9, 11, 13-14, 16, 18-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,963,911 to Gebhardt Jr. et al..
- 7. As to claim 1, Gebhardt Jr. teaches a method of managing network elements in a network, comprising: providing a network element independent module that includes functions for managing different types of network elements (col. 2, lines 31-48, the communication software for the proxy); providing an network element dependent module that includes functions for a specific type of network element and is in communication with the network element independent module (col. 2, lines 49-65, the code necessary for proper integration of the element is considered a network element dependent module); and a network management application that calls the functions of the network element independent and dependent modules to manage a plurality of network elements in a network (col. 2, lines 31-65, the operating system of the proxy); wherein the network management application is operable to request information about a new network element, initialize the network element independent module for the network element, and receive from the new network element and store a new network element dependent module if the network element dependent module of the new network element is different from the network element dependent modules accessible by the network management application (col. 2, lines 49-65); wherein the new network element dependent module and network management application are stored at the same network element so that the network element is operable to

Art Unit: 2142

communicate directly with the new network element (col. 3, lines 1-35, the proxy transfers all element dependent modules).

- 8. As to claim 2, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the functions of the network element dependent module are executable at run time through dynamic class loading (col. 2, lines 49-65).
- 9. As to claim 3, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the network element dependent module includes specifications of the network element (col. 2, lines 49-65).
- 10. As to claim 4, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 3, wherein the specifications include graphical representation of the network element (col. 4, lines 42-53).
- 11. As to claims 5-8, they feature the same limitations as claims 1-4 and are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-4.
- 12. As to claim 9, Gebhardt Jr. teaches a method of managing network elements in a network comprising: sending a request to a network element for the specific type of the network element (col. 4, lines 1-27); if the specific type of the network element is compatible wit the specific type of another network element on the network, utilizing a stored network element dependent module (col. 4, lines 1-27); if the specific type of the network element is not compatible with the specific type of another network element on the network: sending a request to the network element for a network element dependent module that includes functions for managing the specific type of the network element (col. 4, lines 1-27); executing the network element dependent module to create an interface to the network element (col. 4, lines 1-27); and utilizing the interface to manage the network element (col. 4, lines 1-27); wherein the network element

Art Unit: 2142

dependent module is executed at the same network element running a network management application (col. 3, lines 1-35).

- 13. As to claim 11, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 9 further comprising sending a request to the network element for the software version of the network element (col. 4, lines 1-27).
- 14. As to claim 13, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 9, further comprising receiving an object change message that there is a new network element on the network (col. 4, lines 1-27).
- 15. As to claims 14, 16, and 18, they feature the same limitations as claims 9, 11, and 13 and are rejected for the same reasons as claims 9, 11, and 13.
- 16. As to claim 19, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising receiving a packet identifying a new network element and sending an object change message to inform the network management application that there is a new network element (col. 4, lines 1-27).
- 17. As to claim 21, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the system of claim 5 wherein the network element independent module and network element dependent module are also stored at the same network element as the network management application (col. 4, lines 1-27).
- 18. As to claim 22, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising receiving a message indicating a topology change in said network and identifying said new network element (col. 2, lines 49-65).
- 19. As to claim 23, Gebhardt Jr. teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising determining that the new network element type is the same as another network element on said network and utilizing the network element dependent module to manage the new network element (col. 3, lines 1-35).

Art Unit: 2142

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed 7/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The proxy taught by Gebhardt Jr. features a network independent module capable of downloading network element dependent modules therefore all of the module and the management application are on the proxy.

Conclusion

21. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 2142

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

ANDREW CALDWELL

anhew Coldwa

Page 7